

—Mao's cultural revolution —handle planning
should not have been allowed to opment of the national economy.

Cedar-Vine 3-1-67

Council Calls Bids On Cedar-Vine Job

By John Elliott
Sentinel Staff Writer

Bids for Cedar-Vine street improvement bonds have been called by the Santa Cruz city council—which is like saying Americans have landed on the moon, in the minds of city officials and observers.

In somewhat of a surprise move last night, the council moved ahead on the Cedar-Vine project, which calls for a connecting link to be constructed between Cedar and Vine streets to provide a parallel street to Pacific avenue.

Red Troops Inflict Heavy Losses On Gls

Saigon, (P)—North Vietnamese troops have inflicted heavy casualties on two U.S. Marine companies and hammered at positions of long-range American guns south of the demilitarized zone with intensive mortar barrages, U.S. spokesmen announced today.

The official report estimated the Communists fired 1000 mortar shells at Marines during a day-long battle Tuesday about 10 miles south of the buffer territory that separate North and South Vietnam.

Evidently seeking to interrupt the shelling of North Vietnam across the zone, the Communists lobbed 475 81mm mortar shells at the positions of some self-propelled 175mm guns that had been moved to within two miles of the zone.

A spokesman said there was no damage to the big guns, which can fire shells up to 20 miles, and that American casualties were light.

Not involved is a partial reassessment hearing against 45 property owners who were plaintiffs in a lawsuit against the project. Although a Superior Court ruling threw that action out of court, the council had earlier committed itself to an April 11 consideration of the partial reassessments.

Kenneth Jones, a member of the bonding firm which represents the city in the Cedar-Vine dispute, told councilmen the city presently has sufficient funds for acquisition and demolition costs, with about \$33,000 left over for incidental expenses.

The total original assessment against the 45 property owner plaintiffs amounts to some \$80,900, he said. Jones refused to predict how long that matter will continue if the Superior Court ruling is appealed by Frank Murphy Sr., attorney for the plaintiffs, but Jones did state he feels confident that most or all of the \$80,900 will be upheld in the city's favor.

Although no definite date was set, it appears improvement bond bids will be received and considered on March 28, which is a scheduled council meeting date.