annexah Section A Page 15
January 11, 1970
S. F. Sunday Examiner 公公公

& Chronicle

Santa Cruz Fight Over Annexation

Row Embroils UC Campus

By Ed Montgomery
Examiner News Staff
SANTA CRUZ— Controversy over a city plan to annex a large coastal area is in-creasing as the Local Agency Formation Commission

nears a decision on the plan.

The commission Wednes-The commission Wednes-day will continue a hearing on the matter and may come

to a decision.

The annexation is favored by the City Council, Board of Santa Cruz Senjary. Supervisors, Santa Cruz Sentinel and city officials, but several groups whose ranks include some students and faculty from the University of California here oppose the

The land is north of the city and adjacent to the UC

campus. Another group favoring annexation—the Santa Cruz Taxpayers Committee for Property Rights—yesterday wrote to UC Chancellor Dean McHenry protesting university personnel involvement in the controversy.

Voices Protest E. V. Manchester, chair-man of the taxpayer organization, protested what he la-beled "the great amount of involvement of the teaching protested what he lastaff in Santa Cruz city and county political life the past

four months."

He said his group "strongly questions the validity of
this sudden involvement, as
well as the propriety of it."
In the absence of Chancellor McHenry, Assistant
Chancellor Gurdin Mooser said he was not aware of any organized campus opposition to annexation. He promised he would look into the matter

Manchester conceded students and faculty have the right as individuals to be ac-

Manchester conceded students and faculty have the right as individuals to be ac-

tive in such issues.
"But," he said, "what we are objecting to is faculty members using paid secretarial help, university supplies and postage to mount a campaign against the pending annexation."

Foresees Approval

City Manager David Koester said the annexation proposal was based on "a broad review" by the city and probably will be approved.

Among organizations op-osing the plan are the posing Coastal (Coalition, dominated by faculty members and stu-dents. The group was one of the sponsors of a full-page newspaper ad that implied the annexation will lead to

urban sprawl. A spokesman for the com-pany proposing to develop one-sixth of the area termed the ad a "gross misrepresen-

tation." Opponents claim the proposed development will close off access to the ocean front but developers argue it would provide access to the ocean where there is none now.

Details Not Approved City officials point out that details of the proposed devel-opment have not been ap-proved and contend they would not approve anything they did not think enhanced

the area. In the meantime, annexa-tion should proceed to pre-vent fragmentation of the area into several districts un-der county auspices, accord-

ing to city officials.

UC faculty member Dale
Flowers acknowledged that his secretary prepared and mailed material for the new-ly formed Santa Cruz Council on the Environment, which opposes the development and

annexation. But he said this work is now being done by others. "We did it (in the beginning) as a public service. When we get requests for assistance from within the city we try to

comply," Flowers said.

Flowers said the antiannexation ad originated on

campus. Faculty member Peter Scott, an active opponent of annexation, said it is true members of the campus public relations staff helped prepare the ad, but said the work was done on their own time.