## Porter-Sesnon plan draws fire

BY KEITH MURAOKA

SANTA CRUZ -Palo Alto developer Ryland Kelley on Monday submitted as promised a develop-ment application for a 197-room lodge and conference facility on the

Porter-Sesnon property in Apros.

The development would be a scaled-down version of an earlier proposal, calling for more units as well as a performing arts center and athletic fields.

Porter-Sesnon development foes were quick to oppose the latest project and have vowed to fight it as tenaciously as they had battled the earlier one.

The new proposal is now called "Meadowpark" — as opposed to Wingspread Beach, which was overwhelmingly rejected by county voters in a ballot initiative in 988. Meadowpark represents a 58 per-cent reduction from Wingspread's 468 room lodge.

Meadowpark project manager Tim Welch said Monday, "We are not going into this with a chip on our shoulder, saying 'approve it or we'll sue.' We hoping the project is good enough to stand on its own merit.

Both Aptos Supervisor Robley Levy and Santa Cruz Supervisor Gary Patton said Monday that the county's top priority remains that the state purchase the 72.7 acres of coastal bluff land and meadow next to New Brighton State Beach as a state park.

Patton commented, "Obviously, Mr. Kelley knows this is going to be an unpopular proposal." Besides 271 fewer rooms on the

oceanfront acreage, Meadowpark is without Wingspread's three-hall performing arts center and athletic

Weich pointed to the reduced density of the new project, saying it

translates into less anticipated traffic. He said 2,145 average daily trips are expected from the project, which is around a third the number

espected from Wingspread.

Kelley said Monday that Meadowpark conforms not only to the adopted Santa Cruz County Local Coastal Plan, but also the county's General Plan. He praised the provision of "open space and recreation to the public" in the proposal

Welch noted that only 5.6 percent

of the net developable acreage is being developed, with nearly 85 percent of the 72.7 acres remaining in public open space, including a 3.8-acre public beach to be located between Pot Belly Beach and La Olas Drive. Some 91 parking space sible to the beach are also provided, as well as a handicapped-

sible beach access trail. Vickie Powell-Murray of the Friends of Porter-Sesnon said Monday there are no "public benefits" to the new proposal. "We don't need more high-priced condos in Apios," she said. "If Mr. Kelley really cares so much for our community, then let him move north to downtown Santa Cruz, which is hi great need of redevelopment after the earthquake."

Pat Manning, chairwoman of the New Brighton Beach Action Coalition — which is working for state park purchase — said Monday the coalition will unveil an alternate plan for the property in a couple

weeks, involving public purchase.

Both Kelley and Welch pointed to what may well be the first anticipated legal dispute — namely, should Kelley be required to conduct another costly, time-consuming environmental study?

They maintained that Meadowpark "fits well inside the parameters of the environmental impact report prepared for the much larger Windspread project."

Welch conceded that they have already discussed "legal recourse"

should it become necessary in the future.

Patton acknowledged, "It would be premature to say we're going to take them to court. It is not premature to say that the people of Santa Cruz County have over whelmingly spoken for open use."

Levy added, "I think the public in the county wants to see this land acquired as a state park. Despite this submission, I would hope that Mr. Kelley is also working toward

Weich responded, "I feel this is a good stand alone project that meets the visitor-serving use and is one that will generate transit-occupanoy tax. I think there will be support from those who believe visitor serving revenue is important, and those people who feel this is a less intensive use of the land."

The controversial Wingspread Beach project was approved by the Board of Supervisors, but was over-whelmingly rejected by county voters in a binding referendant reters in a binding referendum in June 1988. Negotiations then began between Kelley and the state in hopes of the state buying the property for a state park. However, those negotiations foundered after the two sides could not agree on the alue of the land.

Kelley noted earlier that he had originally budgeted about \$15 million for public improvements, such as the performing arts center and ethletic fields. This amount, he said, "almost exactly equals the value of the 271 rooms, which have been dropped from the project as a result of the election defeat."

"Unfortunately, therefore, we do not have the means to provide the public benefits of the prior proposed project," Kelley said.

REFERENCE





an for the Porter-Sesnon property calls for development near the beach and on the left side of the property, as seen from this r