

Water Supply

Water Commission Head Urges Bond Approval

"Everyone seems to agree that our water supply is not sufficient for our needs and that our treatment facilities are not adequate," said Fred Morris, chairman of the Santa Cruz City Water commission. "Nearly everyone seems agreed, too, that the plan unanimously recommended by the water commission and the city council is the best and most economical way to correct this situation."

"We have carefully considered many alternate proposals which we have discarded because they are just impossible or would be much more expensive. Once in awhile, however, I am still asked whether or not we have thoroughly studied this or that other possibility."

"A project based on a dam at Soquel creek would cost approximately \$15,000,000, fully developed, or about three times the cost of the project we are proposing. The cost of a similar project at Scott's creek, north of

Davenport, would run between \$9,000,000 and \$10,000,000 or nearly double.

"I have also been asked about artesian wells and coastal streams. There has been some talk about a well in Scotts Valley which flows artesianly at the rate of 36 gallons per minute. This would be less than one tenth of the requirement for a single irrigated farm of 50 to 60 acres, and would literally be a meaningless 'drop in the bucket' for our city supply. There has been no indication whatever that this would be a major source of supply. Scotts Valley itself needs water, and even they have apparently made no effort whatever to tap this trickle as a significant source of supply. In addition, this water has been found to be highly corrosive."

"We now use water directly from three coastal streams. These streams produce plenty of water when we need it least and virtually none when we need it most, during the dry season. To relieve our situation at all, this water would have to be impounded by means of dams, just as we are proposing at Newell Creek. The difference is that a dam on the largest of these coastal streams would produce only a small fraction as much water, per year, as one at Newell Creek."

"The hills and other terrain features around Newell Creek are such that costs of a dam and reservoir there are low. The cost of the earthfill dam at Newell creek is only \$1,400,000. The rest of the cost is for items such as pipelines and particularly for the treatment plant (nearly \$2,000,000) which the state department of public health insists on, even for our present water supply."

"I have been asked, just a few times, if we couldn't relieve our present critical situation by cutting off water service to the suburban areas. We have not added any new services outside the city limits for many, many years. Once such service has been established, however, it can not be cut off. The law does not permit it. These suburban areas pay substantially more for the same water than we do here in the city. They pay us well for their water, as they will for the proposed improvements, but we couldn't cut off their service if we wanted to."

"We have studied all these proposals and other. We have had the services of professional consultants, engineers, and experts in the field. Members of the city council and water commission are water users, just like anyone else, and have unanimously recommended the Newell creek project, and the financing by long-term revenue bonds, as being much the most economical method for all of us."

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF TIME APPOINTED FOR PROVING WILL, ETC
No. 15,900

In the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Santa Cruz.

In the Matter of the Estate of B. J. GRANT, also known as BERTRAM J. GRANT, and also as BERTRAM JAMES GRANT, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given that a petition for the probate of the will of B. J. Grant, also known as Bertram J. Grant, and also as Bertram James Grant, deceased, and for the issuance to petitioner, BANK OF AMERICA NATIONAL TRUST AND SAVINGS ASSOCIATION, of letters testamentary has been filed in this court, and that Friday, November 14, 1958 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of said day, and the courtroom of said court, in the courthouse, in the city of Santa Cruz, County of Santa Cruz, State of California, have been fixed as the time and place for the hearing of said petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and contest the same and show cause why said petition should not be granted. Reference is hereby made to said Petition for further particulars.

Dated October 27, 1958.
TOM M. KELLEY, Clerk
By Mathilda Rossi,
Deputy Clerk.

B. B. SNYDER, JR.,
Attorney for Petitioner
Santa Cruz, California.
Oct. 29, 30 and Nov. 9 (558)

NOTICE OF TIME APPOINTED FOR PROVING WILL, ETC.
No. 15,901

In the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Santa Cruz.

In the Matter of the Estate of EDNA H. YOUNG HAMMOND, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given that a petition for the probate of the will of Edna H. Young Hammond, deceased, and for the issuance to petitioners, DELPHA YOUNG and MARY SCHULTZ, of letters testamentary has been filed in this court, and that November 14th, 1958 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of said day, and the courtroom of said court, in the courthouse, in the city of Santa Cruz, County of Santa Cruz, State of California, have been fixed as the time and place for the hearing of said petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and con-

Wednesda

Sant

(Cont

The pr
to be int
present s
patchwor
opment
been cut.

Howev
which co
the proje
years ha

Plans
use the
through
ham hil
The pre
applied
will be
pump o
gravity-
boost in
age tan

The w
measu
that
has g
night
backin
perso
finan
none
proje
shoul

The
Santa
and d
versio
ior co
urban

Wa
city o
only

Wh
suffic
what
a hor
er ca
econ

Ci
tants
that
to w
be k

pre
po
the
pr
th

I
for
gra
wo
nee

con
spi
ter
na
tin
ea
ou

si
th
n
th
a
ti