Ike Sees Zhukov-Wilso

San Lorenzo's Flood Control Project To Be Started Soon

The greatest public works job in the history of Santa Cruz — the San Lorenzo river flood con-trol project—will soon get under

Last Friday in San Francisco, the United States corps of army engineers formally advertised for bids on the big flood control project. Contractors will have 30 days to look over the design plans and specifications and the site before the deadline for bids

By September 1, officials esti-mate the project will have been awarded to the lowest bidder and work will get started on the continuing project. It will take 570

days to complete the job.

It is expected that the total construction job will cost about \$4,500,000 and the acquisition of rights-of-way, transfer of utility lines and other non-construction costs will total another \$2 mil-lion.

Without question, it will be the largest public works project ever constructed in the county. Previously, the largest job was the Morrissey boule-vard to Rob Roy junction free-way, completed in 1949 at a total cost of \$4,190,000.

The San Lorenzo river flood control project will be under the direct supervision of the army corps of engineers in the San Francisco district, Colonel J. S. Hartnett is the new district enginsucceeding Col. John Graf eer, succeeding Co earlier this month.

Actual construction will be done by a private construction company selected on the basis of the low bid submitted for the pro-

City Plans Condemnation Of Property

The city will institute condemnation proceedings against prop-erty owners who have not signed over right of entry along the path

over right of entry along the path of the first segment of the San Lorenzo river flood control projects Give Mein added he has a Klein Klein added he has a Klein Klein added may be ing with how much land may be ing with in the proceedings as someers in in the proceedings as someers in the proceedings as someers in the proceedings as some as a some of the proceedings as some of the path of t

By Gordon Sinclair
Managing Editor of The Sentinel
(First of a series of three.)

ject. Last year Congress allocated the sum of \$307,000 for the corps of engineers to complete their of engineers to complete their final plans for the project. About \$100,000 of that sum is expected to used in the early phase of construction.

In the 1957-58 federal budget, the sum of \$1,200,000 has been recommended by the engineers and their recommendation has ject. been followed by committees in the house and senate with ex-pectations that formal Congressional approval of the fund will be granted in the near future and that President Eisenhower will authorize the expenditure.

On the basis of these expectations, the engineers have announ-

ced the advertisement for bids.

There's a long and involved history behind the flood control project

Back on June 28, 1938, the 75th congress, 3rd session, authorized the Flood Control act, Public Law 761. It made possible federal participation through the corps of army en-gineers in local flood control projects.

On February 27, 1940, the city of Santa Cruz had 207 acres of property inundated by a flash flood in the San Lorenzo River. were no deaths, but considerable property damage. Total damage estimates for the city and the San Lorenzo valley area ran between \$500,000 an \$1,000,000.

The San Lorenzo flooded again Sunday, February 9, 1941. It was not as bad as the 1940 flood, but the rising waters did cause the evacuation of a number of residents from the lowland around Barson street and in the Garfield

street sector.

Once again on February 1, 1945, the San Lorenzo had a flash flood. It didn't cause extensive damage although it just about wrecked a few of the remaining buildings in the city's Old Chinatown area behind Cooper street.

Public hearings were held in Santa Cruz December 14, 1938, and again on March 6, 1945 before the corps of engineers to establish the value of a flood con-trol plan for the San Lorenzo

The 1945 hearing was held in city council chambers with H. Ray Judah, now manager of the civic auditorium, handling the presentation for the city. At that time both the city and the San Lorenzo Valley county wa-ter district were plugging for a multi-purpose flood control pro-ject that would provide for dam construction on the San Lorenzo river or its tributaries to furnish both flood control protection for the valley and Santa Cruz and for water supplies for both sectors.

For years flood control became thing of the past. Then May 28, 1953, the corps of engineers issued their favorable report for the Santa Cruz flood control pro-

The report read:

"The reporting officers recommend authorization for construction, within the city of Santa Cruz, of levees and flood walls along San Lorenzo river, for a distance of about 2.4 miles, together with minor channel improvements, and improvement and channel rectification of Branciforte creek, for a distance of nearly one mile, at an estimated total project first cost as of February, 1951, of \$3,-083,000 of which the federal cost is estimated at \$2,413,000 and the non-federal cost at \$670,000." non-federal cost at \$670,000.

Later the report said, "The project would be amply justified by the prospective benefits. The reporting officers conclude that a high degree of flood protection would be ac-complished by the recommend-ed project, within the city of Santa Cruz, and that the plan for channel improvements would not interfere with future

water supply or related developments in the basin."

Another section of the report eliminated the multi-purpose plan with the statement, "Federal participation for flood control would not be warranted in multi-purpose reservoirs proposed by local in-terests because the costs for such flood control would exceed the benefits."

On June 23,-1953, the report from the South Pacific division of the corps of engineers, submitted by Colonel P. D. Berrigan, was approved by the board of engi-neers in Washington, D.C.

Little more than a year later (September 4, 1954) when Presi-dent Dwight D. Eisenhower signed the omnibus rivers and harbors bill, the project included the authorization for federal participa-tion in the San Lorenzo river flood control project.

Still to be handled was the \$670,000 "non - federal cost."

These funds would be required for changes in two bridges across Branciforte creek, for changes in the old footbridges across the river at Cooper street and across Branciforte creek at

way along both sides of the river required for the "take lines" of the flood control project.

In the interim, the California legislature in 1945 had passed en-abling legislation to allow state participation in federal fund conparticipation in rederal fund control projects. Such participation of the state providing the cost of all lands, easements, rights-of-way, etc., had to be approved by the state water resources board, official state agency dealing with federal flood control programs.

On December 2, 1955, just 20 days before the Christmas floods, the state water resources board approved the authorization of a \$670,000 expenditure for the San Lorenzo river flood control project after a presentation by City Manager Robert N. Klein.

Then came the flood—the real

flood with four persons killed in-

flood with four persons killed inside the city as a total of eight persons died in the area by the tremendous flood that surpassed all previous floods in the memory of Santa Cruzans.

Total loss in the city was finally set at about \$75 million. Some 410 acres were inundated. Five feet of water swept down Pacific avenue during the night of December 22, flooding basements and leaving the city's downtown business district in a stinking sea of mud and wreckstinking sea of mud and wreck-

ed merchandise.
One week after the flood, the One week after the flood, the San Lorenzo project was just a proposal recommended by the army engineers. It contained no priority in congress. A group of leading Santa Cruzans along with Mayor Tom Polk Williams Sr. and City Manager Robert N. Klein signed an eight-page telegram to Senators Knowland and Kuchel and Congressman Charles S. Gubser, urging putting the project on ser, urging putting the project on

emergency status. 1

The appeal was successful and through outstanding work of our representatives in Washington, the San Lorenzo flood control project was sailing on its way through the rough channel of federal bureaugusts.

eral bureaucracy.
On March 16, 1956, the state legislature approved Assembly Bill 55, appropriating the sum of \$1,000,000 for state participation in the flood control project.

In July, congress authorized the sum of \$307,000 for final plans and preliminary construction in the 1956-57 budget.

Final plans have been completed by the engineers and the project is ready to begin.

(Tomorrow, recap of the flood

May street as well as lowering the sewer crossing near the Riverside avenue bridge and the acquisition of all the right-of-

Beach Erosion Project Nears Realization

Both the state of California and Congress took steps today which brings the \$1,573,000 beach erosion program for the crumbling coastline from West Cliff drive 49th avenue nearer to realization.

The house public works committee today approved a bill to authorize future contruction of numerous water products costing slightly less than \$1. villion. Included in the bill year \$516,000

for the beach erosion project.
California's Gov. Good win
Knight signed legislation authorizing the state on beach erosion control projects authorized by Congress and requiring local participation, to pay half of the local construction costs. The bill was introduced by Sen. Donald Grun-sky (R-Watsonville). The house bill is quite similar

to the one which Congress passed last year but which President Eisenhower subsequently vetoed. last year's veto measure carried project authorizations totaling \$1,619,292,000.

\$1,619,292,000.

Under the house bill, if passed by Congress, the federal government would foot one-third of bill and local government would pay the remaining two-thirds. The state legislation allows the state legislation allows the state legislation allows the state. lows the state to pay one-half of the local costs, but does not authorize the money. This would have to be done in the future by

the legislature.

Locally, the remaining onethird would probably have to be
split up between cities of Santa
Cruz and Capitola and the coun-

ty government.
An army engineer survey of the area showed the sea is chewing away the shoreline at varying rates that reach a foot a year in the Santa Maria Del Mar sec-

senho

thous

trust

to pr

last

paig

cord

cam

stuc

So

tor.

The house bill also authorized a cost allocation study of Soquel creek for flood protection.

Obtaining Of **Burning Permits Urged By Chief**

Uncontrolled grass fires sent fire department pumpers on six calls yesterday, four to lots where residents had failed to get burning permits and take proper precautions.

Fire Chief Jack Sinnott said his department has been lenient on issuing citations to persons burning without a per-mit in the past, but as the dry season lengthens and fires are less easily contained, action may be necessary. Burning without a permit