

down Pennsylvania Avenue today in support of the Equal Rights Amendment.

Three more states — ERA

8-26-77

Zayante

Dam: Future Necessity?

By BILL NEUBAUER
Sentinel Staff Writer

Future City of Santa Cruz development may be linked inextricably to the construction of a dam and reservoir at Zayante Creek, the city general plan committee was in effect told Thursday during a discussion of future arrangements for area sewage disposal.

Chairman Marsha Shanle, during discussions with Bob Mills of the Brown and Coldwell engineering firm which is doing a planning study on sewage disposal for the city and county, drew out a strong statement that water reclaimed from sewage would not be approved by the state Department of Health

SEE BACK PAGE

sashes, the suffrage color. When women march here 6 were met with ing. This time were invited to for President of a Women's proclamation.

"Equal rights an inseparable rights for all, them.

The President proposed Equal ment is at a crucial urged everyone to ratification.

Hazel Hunkins had planned to tique trolley car minute took her arms with president Midge Constabeth Chittick of Womens party and the march.

Forty-five minutes with much pinker arrived at a rally Park across from House and said, "was wonderful — I me a little more was 60 years ago."

"I didn't plan to

RECEIVED

Dream

Zayante Dam May Be Future SC Necessity

FROM PAGE 1

for human consumption (See Page 25).

Mills went on to say that "with our knowledge of viruses, no one should be drinking treated wastewater."

Shanle said then, "Then you mean our only supplies in the future will be from groundwater or wells."

Mills went on to note: "It is expensive to reclaim water. We are forbidden to use reclaimed water in domestic lines, so new lines have to be built even for irrigation.

"You can say there should be no dam and reservoir at Zayante Creek. But based on 1976 costs you could produce an acre foot of water there for \$176, while the acre foot costs of reclaimed water would go to \$553."

Costs of an acre foot of water from the San Felipe state project would be around \$200, Mills added.

Mills' comments cover a critical issue for the general

plan committee because all three new proposed alternative general plans under consideration by the committee call for a major new water source to be developed at Zayante Creek.

However, there is concern among some Santa Cruz City Council members and the general public that an earthquake fault in the area may make a construction at Zayante unwise.

Shanle joked afterward that Mills should come back, even if he was bringing bad news.

In other business, Steve Russell, Santa Cruz acting planning director, presented a long-awaited review of the key differences among the three general plan alternatives, along with analyses of their impacts upon the city.

This report will be studied and brought up for discussion Thursday at a 7:30 p.m. meeting in Room ABC in the civic auditorium. The committee has rearranged its timetable for making a general plan recommendation to the city plan-

ning commission and council. Hope now is to get the recommendation formulated Oct. 6 for presentation around the middle of October.

However, Shanle noted that the committee has much work ahead. For example, a committee discussion of public recommendations on the three plans is set tentatively for Sept. 15, with a special meeting to discuss Live Oak, planning map revisions and other matters on Sept. 29.

If any of the subjects to be covered turn out to be too complex to be handled at one meeting, a carryover would be needed and the timetable would have to be changed accordingly.

A big problem right now is that about half the committee members are on vacation, Shanle said.

In another report, Patty Haymond, an aide to the committee, noted that respondents to questionnaires filled out in numerous city areas voted 152 to 5 for the city to manage or control future growth.