Supervisors debate low-cost-housing projects

Plan changes for a low-cost housing. senior citizen housing , That brought an Oak project. projects in that area.

of the county's afforda- Plan changes.

County supervisors last few years) and to with his colleagues in mitted - they rejected last week approved look at other areas in unanimous approval of a proposed zoning and zoning and General the county for future the General Plan General Plan change

project in Live Oak, but objection from Pajaro The proposed 76-unit on two acres next to the made it clear they want Valley Supervisor E. seniors project, spon- seniors site. That land to take a hard look Wayne Moore, who sored by Volunteers of is owned by Claude before approving any noted that finding new America, had been Stroupe, a builder who more low-cost housing sites for low-cost hous- rejected by the county lives in Live Oak. ing would involve Planning Commission Supervisors, after After approving the changing the General on a 4-1 vote. changes needed for a 76- Plan. "You are talking That would have been objections to the motel, low-cost housing. unit project on 2.7 acres high density ... I sup- the end of it, but Superon 17th Avenue near port the current Gen- visor Gary Patton, an East Cliff Drive, super- eral Plan," Moore said, advocate of low-cost visors voted to study the adding that he didn't housing, exercised his housing densities in think his constituents prerogative to bring the Live Oak (where most would support General matter to the board.

changes for the Live which would have

Supervisors made one ble housing projects Moore voted against major change from the have been built in the the study, but did vote Volunteers' plan as sub-

allowed a 79-unit motel

hearing a half-dozen acres in "medium density" zoning, which would allow a maximum of 35 homes there. but no motel.

The board took audience testimony for cars (Mills estimated the major steps for the nearly four hours. About 38 cars for a 76-unit project, but there's

two-thirds of the people, project) than a town- more. The Coastal Com- residents, saying, "I many wearing large house project under the mission will have to you're not going t buttons saying "I sup- already-approved approve the project, ignore the community port elderly housing," medium density. And after which it will go and not give them a spoke for the project, the seniors would make back to the Board of chance to work some-The other third, mostly fewer trips per car than Supervisors. The site thing out, then you residents of the area, would younger persons, plan is currently being should go for 35 units argued that the 76-unit he said. project is simply too Volunteers of Amer- staff.

that the high-density der.

Oak is becoming a project with a Housing Forbus, who represents presented a chart to the for rent, with HUD supervisors showing picking up the remain-

seniors project would. The rezoning and Genhave fewer people and eral Plan changes are

reviewed by the county (on the property next to

dense, and that Live ica plans to build the Supervisor Dan Moore also argued for dumping ground for and Urban Development the Live Oak area, Patton said another loan. Residents of the argued for a night meet- meeting would just be agreed to leave the two Volunteers represent- project would pay 30 ing to get more testi- more of what the board ative Steve Mills percent of their income mony from Live Oak had already heard.

the project)."

another meeting, but

