Transit Directors Withold Approval Of UCSC Pact

Accusing UCSC of dirivK In other action, Forbus noted CSETA ETT ETA ET ETAOI that Cabrillo College intends to Accusing UCSC of driving spend \$4 to \$5 million in the Yankee trader bargains, Santa Cruz Transit District directors parking facilities. Friday withheld approval of an "It seems a sh agreement with the university tinued, "when we're looking for because they thought it would riders." have short-changed the bus system.

"If I know the university, they haven't lost a nickle on any contract they've ever made with the city, and I don't think with the city, and I don't think

brought up the agreement which college causes Santa Cruz to pay the proposal. Secreta state Division of Forestry for Secreta

is a one-year pact that calls for the university to pay the bus district \$39,000 to transport students to and from the campus. Students would be issued passes which would allow them unlimited travel on the campus run, and on the district's other district still foresees a surplus that thus should yield some \$58,-000 in the coming year, and the county will consider collecting it for the district at next Tuesday's supervisors meeting. With a loss of \$30,000 in subsidies and higher operating expenses, Johnson indicated, the run, and on the district's other district still foresees a surplus routes as well.

changed so the district would keep the non-student fares. Or, suggested Director Dan Forbus, at the district's next meeting. the pact should be altered to t's limit student rides to the campus run, cutting out free passis-sage on the other routes.

"It seems a shame," he con-

"Part of this \$4 to \$5 million would buy a lot of bus runs and keep a lot of land from going under asphalt."

Forbus thought the college with the city, and I don't think we ought to present them with another rosette," declared Director Richard Werner.

We'rner, as an example, Directors agreed to contact college administrators on the

Secretary Robert Scott reportprotection of the upper portion ed the latest assessed valuation of UCSC's campus. He called in the district is gauged at the arrangement "ridiculous." \$117,216,740. The district's 5-cent The transportation agreement tax thus should yield some \$58,-

of some \$5000 for the year.

But the district would have to refund to the university all the non-student fare money it picks up on the campus run.
That refund clause stuck in the craw of several directors.
They wanted the agreement changed so the district would by some \$5000 for the year.
Bill Swager, advertising contractor for the district, said local theaters, motels and restaurants have indicated a strong interest in night bus runs and may be willing to subsidize them.

He said he would submit a

PUBLIC NOTICE

sage on the other routes.

A third suggestion was to up the university's \$39,000 payment by charging a flat 71 cents a mile for the campus run, which is geared to provide much more comprehensive service u n d e r the new plan.

Public Works Director Bill Fieberling thought maybe the directors were getting too much of an arch in their back. He said it might a p p e a r "too strong" to present an altered agreement to university officials, and the whole matter of the contract would be renegotiated.

Directors bought the suggestion was to up the university of the contract would be renegotiated.

Directors bought the suggestion was to up the university of the contract would be renegotiated.

Directors bought the suggestion was to up the university's \$39,000 payment by charging a flat 71 cents a superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the County of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of California to the county of Santa Cruz.

Superior Court of the State of Peter A. ZETZ.

A Superior Court of the State of Peter A. ZETZ.

CRIST Ranta Ranta